Treatment of Schizophrenia Across the Illness Stages

Christoph U. Correll, MD

Professor of Psychiatry and Molecular Medicine Hofstra Northwell School of Medicine Medical Director Recognition and Prevention Program The Zucker Hillside Hospital New York, USA

	-			
ave an interest perceived as a ne relationships	in relation with one or more organizations that could a possible conflict of interest in the context of this presentation. are summarized below:			
Interest	Name of organization			
Grants	Bendheim Foundation, Janssen, National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH), Patient Centered Outcomes Research Institute (PCORI), Takeda, Thrasher Foundation			
Shares	No share holdings in pharmaceutical companies			
Paid positions, honoraria and	Alkermes, Angelini, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Forum, Gerson Lehrman Group, IntraCellular Therapies, Janssen/J&J, LB Pharma, Lundbeck, Medavante, Medscape, Neurocrine, Otsuka, Pfizer, ProPhase, ROVI, Sunovion,			

Overview

- Treatment Goals
- Acute Treatment
- Maintenance Treatment/Relapse Prevention
- What is the Role of LAIs?
- What is the Role of LAIs in the Treatment of Early Episode Patients?
- Adverse Effects
- Offering LAIs
- Conclusions















1st Episode Schizophrenia: Key Points

- First episode patients are generally more treatment responsive
- They require lower doses
- · They are more sensitive to side effects
- Relapse is very common
- While acute efficacy might be similar with FGAs and SGAs, relapse and treatment discontinuation seem to be higher with FGAs
- Multidisciplinary interventions, focusing on engagement, treatment continuation, relapse prevention, physical health and functional recovery are paramount









Clinical Predictors of Poor Outcomes in the Long-Term Course of First-Episode Schizophrenia*

Fixed Risk Factors	Modifiable Risk Factors
Male sex	Longer duration of untreated psychosis
Earlier illness onset	Comorbidities (eg, addiction)
Premorbid developmental delay	Early nonresponse to antipsychotic medication
Longer illness duration	Greater number of relapses
More severe illness	Nonadherence
*Based on longitudinal first episode samples Carbon M and Correll CU. Dialogues Clin Neuro	sci. 2014;16:505-524.













Antipsychotic	Base	Dose Interval	Dosage Strengths/Forms	Starting Dose	Maintenance Dose	Oral Supplementation	Time to Peak	Steady State	Postinjection Observation
Fluphenazine decanoate ⁸¹	Oil	Varies	25 and 100 mg/mL ampoules/vials/syringes	Varies, 12.5 mg	Varies, 12.5–100 mg	No	2–4 d	2–3 mo	No
Haloperidol decanoate ⁸² (Haldol and others)	Oil	4 wk	50 and 100 mg/mL ampoules	Varies, 50 mg	Varies, 300 mg	No	6–7 d	2–3 mo	No
Risperidone microspheres ⁸³ (Risperdal Consta)	Water	2 wk	25, 37.5, 50 mg vial kits	25 mg	25 mg (25–50 mg)	3 wk	4–6 wk	1.5–2 mo	No
Olanzapine pamoate ⁸⁴ (Zyprexa Relprevv)	Water	2 or 4 wk	210, 300, 405 mg vial kits	Varies, up to 300 mg/ 2 wk	Varies, up to 300 mg/ 2 wk	No	4 d	3 mo	At least 3 hours
Paliperidone palmitate LAI ⁸⁵ (Invega Sustenna)	Water	Monthly	78, 117, 156, 234 mg prefilled syringes	150 mg (day 1) + 100 mg (day 8)	75 mg (25–150 mg)	No	13 d	7–11 mo	No
Paliperidone palmitate LAI ⁸⁶ (Invega Trinza)	Water	Once every 3 mo	273, 410, 546, 819 mg prefilled syringes	Depending on once- monthly dose	Varies, 273–819 mg	No	30–33 d	Continues steady state at equivalent dose	No
Aripiprazole monohydrate ⁸⁷ (Abilify Maintena)	Water	Monthly	300, 400 mg vial kits and dual- chamber syringe	400 mg	400 mg (300–400 mg)	2 wk	5–7 d	400: 4–8 mo; 300: 3–4 mo	No
Aripiprazole lauroxil ⁸⁸ (Aristada)	Water	Monthly (or 6 weekly: 882 mg)	441, 662, 882 mg prefilled syringes	Varies, 441–882 mg	Varies, 441–882 mg	3 wk	4 d	4–6 mo	No











Kishimoto T, Robenzadeh A, Leucht C, Leucht S, Watanabe K, Mimura M, Borenstein M, Kane JM, Correll CU. Schizophr Bull 2014 Jan;40(1):192-213.















Adjusted HRs of Hospitalization with Specific AP Agents vs No AP (N=29,828 = 30,209 pt yrs)

Treatment	HR (95% CI)	Favors Use of Specific Antipsychotic	Favors No Use of Antipsychotic
LAI paliperidone	0.51 (0.41-0.64)	_ - _	
LAI zuclopenthixol	0.53 (0.48-0.57)	+	Total hospitalization
Oral clozapine	0.53 (0.48-0.58)	-	risk: 43.7%
LAI perphenazine	0.58 (0.52-0.65)		during mean f/u
LAI olanzapine	0.58 (0.44-0.77)		= 57 yrs
LAI risperidone	0.61 (0.55-0.68)		(modian=6.0 yrs)
Polytherapy	0.62 (0.58-0.65)	•	(median= 0.5 yrs)
Oral olanzapine	0.63 (0.59-0.68)	•	
LAI haloperidol	0.64 (0.56-0.73)	-•	
Oral zuclopenthixol	0.67 (0.59-0.76)	_•_	
Oral risperidone	0.71 (0.64-0.78)	-•	
Oral aripiprazole	0.73 (0.66-0.81)	-•	
Oral levomepromazine	0.76 (0.66-0.89)		
LAI flupentixol	0.78 (0.62-0.98)		-
Oral haloperidol	0.81 (0.71-0.93)	-•	
LAI fluphenazine	0.86 (0.35-2.08)	•	>
Other oral formulations	0.86 (0.75-0.98)		-
Oral perphenazine	0.86 (0.77-0.97)	-•	-
Oral quetiapine	0.91 (0.83-1.00)		_
Oral flupentixol	0.92 (0.74-1.14)		
Rehospitalization risk was LAIs vs equivalent oral for	20-30% lower with nulations	0 0.5 1 HR (S	L.O 1.5 2.0 95% CI)

		Favors Use of	
		Other Than	Favors Use of
Treatment	HR (95% CI)	Oral Olanzapine	Oral Olanzapine
Oral clozapine *	0.58 (0.53-0.63)		
Polytherapy	0.61 (0.57-0.64)		Total treatment
LAI perphenazine *	0.65 (0.59-0.71)	-•	failure: 71.7%
LAI haloperidol	0.67 (0.59-0.75)		during mean f/u
LAI zuclopenthixol	0.69 (0.64-0.75)	-•	= 5 7 yrs
LAI paliperidone	0.72 (0.62-0.83)		(modian=60 yrs)
LAI flupentixol	0.75 (0.64-0.87)		(ineulan- 0.5 yrs)
LAI olanzapine	0.77 (0.60-0.98)		
LAI fluphenazine	0.78 (0.45-1.35)	< •	
LAI risperidone *	0.80 (0.73-0.87)		
Oral perphenazine	0.93 (0.84-1.03)		
Oral zuclopenthixol	0.95 (0.85-1.06)		
Oral haloperidol	0.96 (0.86-1.06)		
Oral flupentixol	1.03 (0.90-1.18)		•
Oral quetiapine	1.05 (0.97-1.13)		•
Oral risperidone	1.05 (0.97-1.13)	_	•
Other oral formulations	1.12 (1.02-1.22)		•
Oral aripiprazole	1.12 (1.04-1.21)		_
Oral levomepromazine	1.15 (1.02-1.28)		e



LAI antipsychotics significantly improve treatment outcomes in patients with schizophrenia

Risk of discontinuation or rehospitalization after a first hospitalization for schizophrenia, by antipsychotic treatment (n=2,588)

Comparison	Adjusted	d hazard ratio (95% CI)
Any depot injection compared with equivalent oral formulation	<u> </u>	ACD: 0.41 (95%CI=0.27-0.61) Relapse: 0.36 (95%CI=0.17-0.75)
Haloperidol depot injection compared with oral haloperidol		
Perphenazine depot injection compared with oral perphenazine	- -	
Risperidone depot injection compared with oral risperidone	- -	-
Zuclopenthixol depot injection compared with oral zuclopenthixol		
 → All-cause discontinuation (n=1,507) → Rehospitalisation (n=2,588) 	0	1 2 3
CI=confidence interval; LAI=long-acting injectable ar 2000–2007; nationwide register study; follow-up afte Tiihonen et al. Am J Psychiatry 2011;168(6):603–609	ntipsychotic; r 1 st admission for s	schizophrenia









Brain Volume Change in cc (=beta) Per Year of Relapse (A) Is 3 Times Larger than Per Year of 4 mg of HAL Equivalent Treatment (B)

A. Brain Volume	Decreas	e Per `	Year of R	elapse	B. Brain Volume	e Decrea	se Per Ye	ear of AF	P Rx
Brain Volume Measure	β_2^a	SE	Z	р	Brain Volume Measure	$\beta_6{}^a$	SE	Z	р
Cerebral	i 1				Cerebral	1			
Total	-1.55	0.61	-2.53	0.01	Total	-0.56	0.24	-2.34	0.01
Gray matter	-0.78	0.53	-1.48	0.14	Gray matter	-0.12	0.21	-0.58	0.56
White matter	-0.95	0.54	-1.77	0.07	White matter	-0.40	0.77	-1.51	0.13
Surface CSE	0.68	0.40	1.71	0.09	Surface CSF	0.27	0.17	1.62	0.11
Frontal lobe	0.00	0110		0100	Frontal lobe	-0.28	0.12	-2.26	0.02
Total	−0.9 ©	0.34	-2.91	0.004	Gray matter	-0.12	0.12	-1.17	0.24
Gray matter	-0.37	0.26	-1.42	0.16	White matter	-0.20	0.12	-1.78	0.07
White matter	-0.48	0.24	-2.02	0.04	CSF	0.08	0.12	0.57	0.57
Temporal lobe	! :				Temporal lobe	1 1			
Total	-0.14	0.11	-1.21	0.23	Total	-0.12	0.06	-2.22	0.03
Grav matter	-0.10	0.10	-1.00	0.32	Gray matter	-0.6	0.04	-1.31	0.19
White matter	-0.17	0.08	-2.12	0.03	White matter	-0.02	0.04	-0.50	0.61
Parietal John	0.17	0.00	2.12	0.05	CSF	0.03	0.04	0.74	0.46
Tatal	0.24	0.20	1 74	0.00	Parietal lobe	I j			
lotal	-0.34	0.20	-1./4	0.08	Total	-0.12	0.08	-1.86	0.06
Gray matter	-0.22	0.13	-1.70	0.09	Gray matter	-0.02	0.05	0.44	0.66
White matter	-0.20	0.16	-1.22	0.22	White matter	-0.14	0.05	-2.54	0.01
Ventricle:brain ratio	0.01	0.01	0.75	0.45	CSF	0.13	0.06	2.16	0.03
	· /				Ventricle:brain ratio	0.008	0.003	2.44	0.01
N=202; 7 (5-18) years F/	u, 659 sca	ans; me	an dose: 4	mg HAL	eq Andreasen NC et a	al. Am J Ps	ychiatry 2	013;170:6	09-15.

Residual z-scores of Intracortical Frontal Lobe Myelin in FE SCZ Patients randomized to RIS Consta or RIS oral

					Basel	ine	F	ollow-up
		MED		Ν	Mear	n (SD)	N	lean (SD)
Frontal	ICM	RLAI RisO	M/ithin	9 13	—.35 .07	(1.26) (1.44)	1	.10 (2.49) .38 (2.06)
			Within	i-group		Betweet	n-group	
MED	Change		t	р		F	р	d
RLAI RisO	+1.53 (.98) +0.22 (1.60)		3.90 0.88	.005 .39		3.13	.093	.81
Bartz	okis G. et al. Schiz	zophr Res	earch 2012	2. 140:122-8.				45















Relapse and Adverse Efffects Reduce Quality of Life in Patients with Schizophrenia









TD in the Elderly: Cumulative TD with Risperidone and Olanzapine

Group	l year		2 ye	2 year		
	n	Rate (%)	95% CI	Rate (%)	95% CI	
Total	207	5.7	1.5, 10.0	8.2	2.9, 13.4	
All risperidone	159	5.3	0.7, 9.9	7.2	1.4, 12.9	
All olanzapine	48	6.7	0.0, 15.6	11.1	0.0, 23	
'Pure' risperidone	159	4.7	0.0, 9.5	7.1	0.6, 13.7	
'Pure' olanzapine	48	4.0	0.0, 11.8	10.4	0.0, 25	

Woerner M et al. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2011 Jul;36(8):1738-46.

Comparative Data - Conventional Antipsychotics¹ NNH and LHH

- Benefits (NNT) were similar between paliperidone palmitate and fluphenazine decanoate; however, certain measures of harm favored paliperidone palmitate
- NNH favored paliperidone palmitate over fluphenazine decanoate for anticholinergic medication use (30 vs. 5), tardive dyskinesia (infinity vs. 7), emergence of positive AIMS score (-32 vs. 13)

Comparison of interest	NNT	NNH
Benefit Prevention	n of Relapse	
Paliperidone LAI vs Placebo ²	2	Anticholinergic medication use: 30.0 Tardive dyskinesia: infinity Emergent positive AIMS score: -32
Fluphenazine LAI vs Placebo ³⁻⁵	2 ³ 3 ⁴ 3 ⁵	Anticholinergic medication use: 5.0 Tardive dyskinesia: 7.0 Emergent positive AIMS score: 13.0
¹ Gopal S, et al. <i>Neuropsych</i> et al. <i>Br Med J</i> 1973;1(5854 <i>Psychiatry</i> 1982;43(5):195-1	<i>hiatr Dis Treat</i> 2011; 633-637. ⁴ Jolley A0 96. ⁶ Pandina GJ, et	93-101; ² Hough D, et al. <i>Schizophr Res</i> 2010;116:107-117. ³ Hirsch SR, G, et al. <i>BMJ</i> 1990;301(6756):837-842. ⁵ Odejide OA, et al. <i>J Clin</i> al. <i>J Clin Psychopharmacol</i> 2010;30:235-244.







	Mortality of Spec	ific APs vs. no AF (N=29,82	9 Use in Prevalent 23)	Population
0 Treatment	LAI Paliperidone Oral Aripiprazole LAI Risperidone LAI Risperidone LAI Perphenazine Oral Perphenazine LAI Olanzapine LAI Zuclopenthixol Other orals Oral Risperidone Oral Risperidone Oral Risperidone Oral Quetiapine Oral Olanzapine Oral Olanzapine Oral Zuclopenthixol LAI Flupentixol Oral Cloza pine Oral Cloza pine Oral Lapperidol I AI Flupenazine	0.11 (0.03, 0.43) 0.22 (0.15, 0.34) 0.31 (0.23, 0.43) 0.36 (0.26, 0 0.37 (0.29, 0.38 (0.27, 0.39 (0.12, 0.4 (0.32, 0.4 (0.32, 0.44 (0.3) 0.44 (0.3) 0.4	3) .51) 0.49) 0.54) 1.23) 0.55) 0.61) 0.5 3, 0.64) 0.36, 0.63) 0.41, 0.56) 0.36, 0.65) 2 (0.36, 0.65) 2 (0.36, 0.75) 3 (0.44, 0.64) 0.59 (0.45, 0.78) 0.77 (0.55, 1, 09) 0.83 (0.49, 1.43)	
	0.	0 0.5	1.0 Hazard Ratio	1.5
HR= AP:	 hazard ratio, median f/u: 5. antipsychotic 	7 yr Taipale H et al. Schizo	phr Res 2017 Dec 20. [Epub a	ahead of print]



















Summary
 In the treatment of schizophrenia, acute and long-term goals must be linked early on
 Efficacy differences are harder to predict and much smaller than adverse effect differences
 Maintenance therapy and relapse prevention are pivotal goals in the management of schizophrenia
 Relapses are serious events that most often are related to non-adherence
 LAI-antipsychotics are a highly valuable, yet still underutilised treatment option
 Earlier use of LAI antipsychotics is hoped to benefit the overall disease course and outcome
 The risk-benefit ratio of treatments must be considered when choosing among available options

